



R | S | G INC.
RESOURCE SYSTEMS GROUP, INC.

■ Documentation for:

DORSET STREET CORRIDOR STUDY

South Burlington, Vermont

■ Prepared for:

**City of South Burlington and the
Chittenden County Metropolitan
Planning Organization**

December 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
SUMMARY OF EXISTING CONDITIONS	1
SUMMARY OF FUTURE CONDITIONS	2
SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.....	3
INTRODUCTION.....	8
1.0 PART I - EXISTING CONDITIONS	9
1.1 STUDY AREA OVERVIEW	9
1.2 PREVIOUS TRANSPORTATION PLAN REVIEW	11
1.3 EXISTING AND PLANNED LAND USE	13
1.3.1 <i>South Burlington</i>	13
1.3.2 <i>Town of Shelburne</i>	18
1.4 NATURAL RESOURCES	18
1.5 TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM CHARACTERISTICS.....	20
1.5.1 <i>Functional Class and Jurisdiction</i>	20
1.5.2 <i>Roadway Characteristics</i>	22
1.5.3 <i>Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities</i>	23
1.5.4 <i>Transit</i>	26
1.6 EXISTING TRAVEL DEMAND	27
1.7 SAFETY ANALYSIS.....	30



1.7.1 *High Crash Locations*..... 30

1.7.2 *Sight Distances* 32

1.7.3 *Travel Speeds*..... 36

1.8 ACCESS MANAGEMENT ASSESSMENT 36

2.0 PART II – CURRENT AND FUTURE BASE SCENARIO TRAFFIC ANALYSIS 38

2.1 SCENARIOS..... 38

2.2 2008 AND 2013 BASE SCENARIO TRAFFIC RESULTS..... 39

2.2.1 *Traffic Volumes* 39

2.2.2 *Level of Service Overview*..... 40

2.2.3 *Intersection LOS Results* 40

3.0 PART III – ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS..... 42

3.1 CORRIDOR-WIDE RECOMMENDATIONS..... 42

3.1.1 *Public Transportation Service* 42

3.1.2 *Access Management Enhancements*..... 42

3.2 ROADWAY RECOMMENDATIONS 42

3.3 IMPLEMENTATION PLAN..... 56

3.4 POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCES 58

3.4.1 *Federal and State Transportation Funds*..... 58

3.4.2 *Local Funds* 58

3.4.3 *Private Funds*..... 59

APPENDIX A: STUDY INTERSECTION DETAILS..... 60

APPENDIX B: SIGN INVENTORY 61



APPENDIX C: CULVERT INVENTORY UPDATE..... 62

APPENDIX D: STREETLIGHT INVENTORY 63

APPENDIX E: PAVEMENT CONDITION OBSERVATIONS..... 64

APPENDIX F: CHITTENDEN COUNTY TRANSPORTATION MODEL & LAND USE ASSUMPTIONS ..65

 OVERVIEW OF CHITTENDEN COUNTY TRANSPORTATION MODEL..... 65

 LAND USE ASSUMPTIONS..... 66

APPENDIX G: DEVELOPMENT OF 2008 AM AND PM PEAK HOUR DHV VOLUMES..... 72

APPENDIX H: INTERSECTION TURNING MOVEMENT VOLUMES FOR FUTURE YEAR ANALYSES 78

APPENDIX I: 2008 & 2013 PM DETAILED LEVEL OF SERVICE WORKSHEETS 80



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Study Area..... 10

Figure 2: Summary of Traffic Impact Studies and Status of Recommendations..... 12

Figure 3: SEQ Conceptual Master Plan..... 14

Figure 4: Conceptual Cross-Sections..... 16

Figure 5: Zoning Map..... 17

Figure 6: Natural Resources within Study Area..... 19

Figure 7: Functional Class..... 21

Figure 8: Dorset Street Cross-Sections (all views are looking North) 24

Figure 9: Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities..... 25

Figure 10: CCTA Services..... 26

Figure 11: Hourly Variations in Traffic Volume on Dorset Street (data from Tuesday, September 19, 2006; recorded on Dorset Street just south of Swift Street) 27

Figure 12: Average Annual Daily Traffic..... 28

Figure 13: AM and PM Peak Hour Directional Splits on Dorset Street 29

Figure 14: Vehicle Crashes 2000-2004..... 31

Figure 15: Dorset Street – Kennedy to I-89 Overpass Collision Diagram 33

Figure 16: Dorset Street – Swift Street Collision Diagram..... 34

Figure 17: Access Management Issues..... 37

Figure 18: Transportation Network Components of the 2013 Base Scenario 39

Figure 19: Summary of Recommendations..... 43

Figure 20: Model Process..... 66

Figure 21: 2005-2008 Anticipated Development Assumptions..... 70

Figure 22: 2008-2013 Anticipated Development Assumptions..... 71

Figure 23: 2008 AM and PM Design Hour Volumes..... 75

Figure 24: 2008 Cumulative Traffic Generated by Vermont National Country Club, Heatherfields, and Williams..... 76

Figure 25: 2008 Design Hour Volumes with Vermont National Country Club, Heatherfields, and Williams Traffic 77



Figure 26: 2008 No Build PM Peak Hour Study Intersection Turning Movements78
 Figure 27: 2013 No Build PM Peak Hour Study Intersection Turning Movements79

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Summary of Planned Developments (as of January 2007)11
 Table 2: Intersection Detail Summary22
 Table 3: Posted Speed Limits23
 Table 4: Daily Average Vehicle Class Count (northbound and southbound directions)30
 Table 5: High Crash Locations on Dorset Street32
 Table 6: Stopping Sight Distances35
 Table 7: Corner Sight Distances (text in red indicates inadequate sight distances)35
 Table 8: Actual Vehicle Travel Speeds versus Posted Speed Limits (source: CCMPO)36
 Table 9: Intersection LOS Criteria40
 Table 10: 2008 PM Peak Hour Level of Service- Base Scenario41
 Table 11: 2013 PM Peak Hour Level of Service41
 Table 12: LOS Results with Short- and Long-Term Recommendations44
 Table 13: Implementation Plan57
 Table 16: Pavement Conditions Assessment64
 Table 17: Chittenden County Regional Growth Projections67
 Table 18: County-wide Population, Household, and Employment Estimates for Planning Years67
 Table 19: Summary of Anticipated Development and Model Allocated Development68
 Table 20: Anticipated Development along the Dorset Street Corridor69
 Table 21: Study Intersection Count Dates72
 Table 22: Growth Factors73
 Table 23: Other Developments in Study Area74

